arXiv:1104.4963v1 [physics.optics] 26 Apr 2011

Broadband polarization retarder

A. A. Rangelov[1]

[1]*Department of Physics, Sofia University, James Bourchier 5 blvd., 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria*

(Dated: January 20, 2013)

We derive a new kind of effective broadband polarization device using the analogy between the equation for the polarization of light, propagating through an optically anisotropic medium, and the optical Bloch equation in adiabatic regime, which describes coherent laser excitation of a two-state atom.

PACS numbers: 42.81.Gs, 42.25.Ja, 42.25.Lc, 32.80.Xx

Two simple and very convenient tools to describe the change of the polarization of light, transmitted through anisotropic optical media, are the Jones vector and Stokes vector [1–3]. The equation of motion for the Jones vector in a medium with zero polarization-dependent loss has a Schrödinger equation form [4, 5], while the equation of motion for the Stokes vector has a Bloch equation form [6–8]. These properties have been used recently to draw analogies between the motion of the Jones vector, alternatively the Stokes vector, and a spin-1/2 particle in nuclear magnetic resonance, or alternatively an optically driven two-state atom in quantum optics, respectively described by Schrödinger or Bloch equations [9–14].

The phase delay between the fast and the slow eigenpolarizations of traditional birefringent polarization devices scales in proportion to the frequency of the light and thus such devices are frequency dependent: a half wave plate and a quarter wave plate work for a single frequency. At the same time, there exists a broadband polarization transforming device, known as Fresnel rhomb [1–3], but the quality of polarization transformation is limited by the dispersive properties of the material, the rhomb is made of. Except the Fresnel rhomb, broadband polarization converters have proven to be inefficient, whereas efficient polarization converters are narrowband.

The adiabatic polarization conversion proposed here is frequency independent: any input polarization state is transformed to the desired output polarization state regardless of the wavelength. This conversion acts as a broadband device, which is limited only by the absorptive characteristics of the device instead of its birefringence bandwidth. It is noteworthy that the proposed technique is analogous to the adiabatic technique in quantum optics [15–19], and hence enjoys the same advantages in terms of efficiency and robustness.

We begin with the derivation of the basic equations of light polarization evolution in anisotropic nonmagnetic linear crystal with negligible absorption. For such media, where no free charges and no currents are present, the Maxwell equations are

$$\nabla\cdot(\epsilon\cdot\mathbf{E})=0\,, \tag{1a}$$

$$\nabla\cdot\mathbf{B}=0, \tag{1b}$$

$$\nabla\times\mathbf{E}=-\frac{\partial\mathbf{B}}{\partial t}, \tag{1c}$$

$$\nabla\times\mathbf{B}=\frac{\epsilon}{c^2}\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{E}}{\partial t}, \tag{1d}$$

where $\mathbf{E}$ is the electric vector, $\mathbf{B}$ is the magnetic vector, ϵ is the electric permittivity tensor and c is the light velocity in vacuum. Writing the Maxwell equations (1) in a Cartesian coordinate system for which z direction, the direction of the propagation of light, coincides with one of the optical axes of the crystal, then the tensor ϵ can be written as [13, 14]

$$\epsilon\left(z\right)=\begin{bmatrix}\epsilon_{xx}\left(z\right) & \epsilon_{xy}\left(z\right) & 0\\ \epsilon_{xy}\left(z\right) & \epsilon_{yy}\left(z\right) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon_{z}\left(z\right)\end{bmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

where we assume that it is a symmetric function of z only. The components $\epsilon_{xx}\left(z\right), \epsilon_{yy}\left(z\right)$ and $\epsilon_{xy}\left(z\right)$ are expressed in terms of the principal values $\epsilon_{x}\left(z\right)$ and $\epsilon_{y}\left(z\right)$:

$$\epsilon_{xx}\left(z\right) = \frac{\epsilon_{x}\left(z\right)-\epsilon_{y}\left(z\right)}{2}\cos\left(2\varphi\right)\,, \tag{3a}$$

$$\epsilon_{yy}\left(z\right) = \frac{\epsilon_{y}\left(z\right)-\epsilon_{x}\left(z\right)}{2}\cos\left(2\varphi\right), \tag{3b}$$

$$\epsilon_{xy}\left(z\right) = \frac{\epsilon_{y}\left(z\right)-\epsilon_{x}\left(z\right)}{2}\sin\left(2\varphi\right), \tag{3c}$$

where φ is an angle of rotation between the xy plane of the chosen Cartesian coordinate system and the optical axes.

If we take the curl of Eq. (1c), using the vector identity $\nabla\times(\nabla\times\mathbf{E})=\nabla(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{E})-\Delta\mathbf{E}$, and if we assume that the electric field varies slowly in the plane transverse to the propagation direction, $\nabla\cdot\mathbf{E}\approx 0$, we arrive at the wave equation for the electric field:

$$\nabla^2\mathbf{E}=\frac{\epsilon}{c^2}\cdot\frac{\partial^2\mathbf{E}}{\partial t^2}. \tag{4}$$

We shall deal with light propagation in one dimension. Let a monochromatic plane wave with frequency ω propagate through the inhomogeneous crystal along the z axis:

$$\mathbf{E}(z,t)=\left[\begin{array}{c} A_x(z)\,\mathbf{e}^{(ikz-\omega t)} \\ A_y(z)\,\mathbf{e}^{(ikz-\omega t)} \\ 0 \end{array}\right]. \tag{5}$$

Since the electric field $\mathbf{E}(z,t)$ depends only on the longitudinal coordinate z, we can replace ∇^2 by $\partial^2/\partial z^2$, and as a result we obtain the following simplified form of Eq. (4), written as two scalar equations:

$$k^2 A_x-\frac{\partial^2 A_x}{\partial z^2}-2ik\frac{\partial A_x}{\partial z} = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\left(\epsilon_{xx}A_x+\epsilon_{xy}A_y\right) \tag{6a}$$

$$k^2 A_y-\frac{\partial^2 A_y}{\partial z^2}-2ik\frac{\partial A_y}{\partial z} = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2}\left(\epsilon_{xy}A_x+\epsilon_{yy}A_y\right) \tag{6b}$$

It is generally acceptable to neglect the second term on the left-hand side of each equation, as this term is much smaller compared to the third one. This is known as the slowly varying amplitude approximation [20] and is valid whenever we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial^2 A_{x,y}}{\partial z^2}\right| \ll \left|2k\frac{\partial A_{x,y}}{\partial z}\right|. \tag{7}$$

This condition requires the fractional change at a distance of the order of an optical wavelength to be much smaller than unity. In this case Eq. (6) becomes

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left[\begin{array}{c} A_x \\ A_y \end{array}\right]=\frac{\omega}{2c\sqrt{\epsilon_z}}\left[\begin{array}{cc} \epsilon_z-\epsilon_{xx} & -\epsilon_{xy} \\ -\epsilon_{xy} & \epsilon_z-\epsilon_{yy} \end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c} A_x \\ A_y \end{array}\right], \tag{8}$$

where we have taken into account that $k^2=\left(\omega^2\epsilon_z\right)/c^2$. We note that the repeating diagonal term ϵ_z can be removed from the last equation by incorporating it as an identical phase in the amplitudes A_x and A_y.

Considering Eq. (3), we rewrite the last equation as

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left[\begin{array}{c} A_x \\ A_y \end{array}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{cc} -\Delta & \Omega \\ \Omega & \Delta \end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c} A_x \\ A_y \end{array}\right], \tag{9}$$

where

$$\Omega = \mu\sin(2\varphi), \tag{10a}$$

$$\Delta = \mu\cos(2\varphi), \tag{10b}$$

$$\mu = \frac{\omega\left(\epsilon_x-\epsilon_y\right)}{2c\sqrt{\epsilon_z}}. \tag{10c}$$

If we map coordinate dependance into time dependance, Eq. (9) is equivalent to the Schrödinger equation for a two-state atom in rotating-wave approximation [15–19], where A_x and A_y are the probability amplitudes for the ground state (horizontal polarization) and the excited state (vertical polarization). The off-diagonal element Ω in Eq. (9) is known as Rabi frequency, while the element Δ corresponds to the atom-laser detuning [15, 16].

We can express the (real-valued) components of the Stokes vector [1–3] by the components of Jones vector:

$$S_0 = \left|A_x\right|^2+\left|A_y\right|^2, \tag{11a}$$

$$S_1 = \left|A_x\right|^2-\left|A_y\right|^2, \tag{11b}$$

$$S_2 = A_yA_x^*+A_xA_y^*, \tag{11c}$$

$$S_3 = i\left(A_xA_y^*-A_yA_x^*\right). \tag{11d}$$

Since the medium has negligible absorption, the value of S_0 is conserved and therefore Eq. (9) turns into the optical Bloch equation [15, 16]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left[\begin{array}{c} S_1 \\ S_2 \\ S_3 \end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & \Omega \\ 0 & 0 & \Delta \\ -\Omega & -\Delta & 0 \end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c} S_1 \\ S_2 \\ S_3 \end{array}\right]. \tag{12}$$

Traditional polarization devices work on resonance ($\Delta=0$), which is achieved by adjusting the plane of the incident light, so that it makes an angle $\varphi=\pi/4$ with the fast axis (see Eq. (10b)). Furthermore, to achieve good polarization conversion an exact area condition is required ($\int\Omega\,dz=n\pi$ with n being half integer). Consequently, the traditional retarders depend on the propagation length (the thickness of the plate) and are not broadband. Hence they are not optimal. To this end, we propose an alternative and robust adiabatic technique to create broadband polarization retarder.

Let us assume that we use layers of the same material to make our retarder and that we change only the angle φ from layer to layer. Then we can write Eq. (12), using Eq. (10), in the so-called adiabatic basis [15–19]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left[\begin{array}{c} S_1^A \\ S_2^A \\ S_3^A \end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc} i\mu & \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z} & 0 \\ -\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z} & 0 & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z} \\ 0 & \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z} & -i\mu \end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c} S_1^A \\ S_2^A \\ S_3^A \end{array}\right]. \tag{13}$$

The connection between the Stokes vector $\mathbf{S}(z)$ in the original basis and the Stokes vector $\mathbf{S}^A(z)$ in the adiabatic basis is given by

$$\mathbf{S}(z)=R(z)\,\mathbf{S}^A(z), \tag{14}$$

with $R(z)$ being the unitary transformation matrix

$$R(z)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\begin{array}{ccc} \sin(2\varphi) & \sqrt{2}\cos(2\varphi) & \sin(2\varphi) \\ \cos(2\varphi) & -\sqrt{2}\sin(2\varphi) & \cos(2\varphi) \\ i & 0 & -i \end{array}\right]. \tag{15}$$

For adiabatic evolution of the system there are no transitions between the amplitudes S_1^A, S_2^A and S_3^A. Hence $\left|S_1^A\right|, \left|S_2^A\right|$ and $\left|S_3^A\right|$ remain constant [15–19]. Mathematically, adiabatic evolution means that in Eq. (13) the non-diagonal terms can be neglected compared to the diagonal terms, which holds when we have [15–19]

$$\left|\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial z}\right| \ll |\mu|. \tag{16}$$

Thus, adiabatic evolution requires smooth z-dependance of the angle φ and large rotary power $|\mu|$. For a pure adiabatic evolution, the solution of Eq. (13) is very simple,

$$\mathbf{S}^A(z_f)=U^A(z_f,z_i)\,\mathbf{S}^A(z_i), \tag{17}$$

where the adiabatic propagator $U^A(z_f,z_i)$ is diagonal and contains only phase factors:

$$U^A(z_f,z_i)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc} \exp(i\eta) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \exp(-i\eta) \end{array}\right], \tag{18}$$

with the adiabatic phase $\eta = \int_{z_i}^{z_f} \mu dz$. The propagator in the original basis, the reduced Mueller matrix, is

$$U\left(z_f, z_i\right) = R\left(z_f\right) U^A\left(z_f, z_i\right) R^\dagger\left(z_i\right), \tag{19}$$

or explicitly:

$$U\left(z_f, z_i\right) = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \cos(\alpha)\cos(\beta) + \sin(\alpha)\sin(\beta)\cos(\eta) & -\sin(\alpha)\cos(\beta) + \cos(\alpha)\sin(\beta)\cos(\eta) & \sin(\beta)\sin(\eta) \\ \cos(\beta)\sin(\alpha)\cos(\eta) - \cos(\alpha)\sin(\beta) & \cos(\alpha)\cos(\beta)\cos(\eta) + \sin(\alpha)\sin(\beta) & \cos(\beta)\sin(\eta) \\ -\sin(\alpha)\sin(\eta) & -\cos(\alpha)\sin(\eta) & \cos(\eta) \end{array}\right], \tag{20}$$

with $\alpha = 2\varphi\left(z_i\right)$ and $\beta = 2\varphi\left(z_f\right)$.

Equation (20) gives the general adiabatic evolution scenario for an arbitrary polarization. Naturally and without loss of generality we can fix x and y axis of the Cartesian coordinate system to coincide with the fast and slow optical axis for the first layer of the crystal, which is equivalently to set $\varphi(z_i) = 0$. In this case Eq. (20) reduces to simpler form:

$$U\left(z_f, z_i\right) = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \cos(\beta) & \sin(\beta)\cos(\eta) & \sin(\beta)\sin(\eta) \\ -\sin(\beta) & \cos(\beta)\cos(\eta) & \cos(\beta)\sin(\eta) \\ 0 & -\sin(\eta) & \cos(\eta) \end{array}\right]. \tag{21}$$

Obviously there are two terms in the last equation that do not depend from the adiabatic phase η, therefore those terms are not frequency dependent and a retarder, that use only those two terms, will be frequency independent.

Broadband rotation for linearly polarized light: If initially the light is linearly polarized in horizontal direction, $\mathbf{S}(z_i) = (1, 0, 0)$, then from Eq. (21) we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_1\left(z_f\right) &= \cos\left(2\varphi\left(z_f\right)\right), & (22) \\ S_2\left(z_f\right) &= -\sin\left(2\varphi\left(z_f\right)\right), & (23) \\ S_3\left(z_f\right) &= 0. & (24) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we can end up with a vertically polarized light, $\mathbf{S}(z_f) = (-1, 0, 0)$, if we set the final angle $\varphi(z_f) = \pi/2$. This process is reversible: if we start with a vertically polarized light and set the final angle to be $\varphi(z_f) = \pi/2$, we achieve reversal of the direction of motion and we end up with a horizontal polarization.

Analogously, if initially the light is linearly polarized in horizontal direction, $\mathbf{S}(z_i) = (1, 0, 0)$, but now we choose the final angle to be $\varphi(z_f) = 3\pi/4$ or $\varphi(z_f) = \pi/4$, then we end up either with a linear +45° polarized light, $\mathbf{S}(z_f) = (0, 1, 0)$, or with a linear −45° polarized light, $\mathbf{S}(z_f) = (0, -1, 0)$. Again, those processes are reversible.

The presented adiabatic retarder is advantageous because the polarization rotation depends only on the angle of rotation. These retarder is frequency independent and it is robust against variations of the propagation length, rotary power, etc., in contrast to the traditional retarders.

In conclusion, we have shown that using the analogy between the equation, which describes the polarization state of light, propagating through an optically anisotropic medium, and the optical Bloch equation in adiabatic regime, which describes coherent laser excitation of a two-state atom, it is possible to build effective and broadband polarization retarder.

This work has been supported by the European Commission project FASTQUAST, the Bulgarian NSF grants D002-90/08, DMU02-19/09 and Sofia University Grant 022/2011. The author is grateful to N. V. Vitanov for stimulating discussions.

[1] M. Born, E. Wolf, *Principles of Optics* (Pergamon, Oxford, 1975).
[2] M. A. Azzam, N. M. Bashara, *Ellipsometry and Polarized Light* (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1977).
[3] D. Goldstein and E. Collett, *Polarized Light* (CRC Press, 2003).
[4] H. K. Aben, Expl. Mech. **7**, 13 (1966).
[5] H. Kubo, R. Nagata, Opt. Commun. **27**, 201 (1978).
[6] H. Kubo, R. Nagata, Opt. Commun. **34**, 306 (1980).
[7] H. Kubo, R. Nagata, J. Opt. Soc. Am. **71**, 327 (1981).
[8] H. Kubo, R. Nagata, J. Opt. Soc. Am. **73**, 1719 (1983).
[9] H. Kuratsuji, S. Kakigi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1888 (1998).
[10] V. S. Zapasskii, G. G. Kozlov, Phys.-Usp. **42**, 817 (1999).
[11] H. Kuratsuji, R. Botet, and R. Seto, Prog. Theor. Phys. **117**, 195 (2007).
[12] A. A. Rangelov, U. Gaubatz, and N. V. Vitanov, Opt. Comm. **283**, 3891 (2010).
[13] R. Botet and H. Kuratsuji, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41**, 035301 (2008).
[14] R. Botet and H. Kuratsuji, Phys. Rev. E **81**, 036602 (2010).
[15] L. Allen and J. H. Eberly, *Optical Resonance and Two-Level Atoms* (Dover, New York, 1987).
[16] B. W. Shore, *The Theory of Coherent Atomic Excitation* (Wiley, New York, 1990).
[17] N. V. Vitanov, T. Halfmann, B. W. Shore, and K.

Bergmann, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. **52**, 763 (2001).

[18] N. V. Vitanov, M. Fleischhauer, B. W. Shore, and K. Bergmann, Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **46**, 55 (2001).

[19] B. W. Shore, Acta Physica Slovaka **58**, 243 (2008).

[20] R. W. Boyd, *Nonlinear Optics* (Academic Press, 1992).